

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5
6

7 MARTHA BERNDT, et al.,

8 Plaintiff(s),

No. C 03-3174 PJH

9 v.

ORDER

10 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
11 CORRECTIONS, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).
13 _____ /

14 Before the court is plaintiffs' amended third administrative motion for order modifying
15 the pretrial schedule in which plaintiffs seek an extension of the discovery cutoff date from
16 September 3 to September 27, 2013. There are also other requests for similar relief
17 pending before Magistrate Judge Spero. The court has conferred with Judge Spero and
18 has decided in the interests of consistency, it is preferable that one judicial officer decide all
19 of the pending discovery issues pertaining to scheduling, so that this case can proceed to
20 dispositive motions in December. Accordingly, the administrative motion filed with the
21 undersigned is hereby REFERRED to Judge Spero who will determine what, if any,
22 additional time will be permitted for the various discovery events described in the motion as
23 well as in those motions filed before him. The parties are advised, however, that the
24 dispositive motions hearing date will not be changed at the request of either side.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: August 22, 2013

27
28 
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge